

Appendix I – Indicative Logical Framework Matrix

Results	Results chain: Main expected results (maximum 10)	Indicators (at least one indicator per expected result)	Baselines (2026)	Targets by the end of the programme (2030)	Sources of data (1 per indicator)	Assumptions
Impact	To increase the resilience to natural and human-induced disasters in the Eastern Partnership countries	a) Level of improvement of procedures in place in the Eastern Partnership countries for disaster risk management and cross-border/UCPM cooperation	a) Based on PPRD East 3 reports	a) Additional targets have been met and existing ones have improved in each of the countries	Self-assessment by Partner Countries and European Commission Reports and Peer-reviews Sub-committees on civil protection with Partner Countries	<i>Not applicable</i>
Outcome 1	Strengthened capacities of Partner Countries to prevent, prepare for, respond to and recover from natural and human-induced disasters	a) Extent to which laws, secondary legislation, strategies and procedures of Partner Countries are approximated with the EU requirements b) Level of institutional capacities for preparing, preventing, responding and recovering, as well as level of cooperation on national and regional level	a) The institutional and legal framework in the field of civil protection b) Baseline as outlined in the reports of PPRD East 3 programme	a) The institutional and legal framework of Partner Countries in the field of civil protection is increasingly aligned to the EU requirements and UCPM standards b) Higher level of institutional capacities in the areas of prevention, preparedness, response and recovery	Self-assessment by Partner Countries and European Commission (Enlargement Reports) Programme reports	Political commitment to engage and prioritise the sector Political will to increase cooperation in civil protection Political stability within countries, absence of tensions Adequate human and financial resources

<p>Outcome 2</p>	<p>Further integration of new Participating States into the UCPM and increased capacity in other Eastern Partnership countries to potentially join the UCPM</p>	<p>a) Extent of improved understanding and knowledge of the UCPM and its tools b) Extent to which relevant staff have benefitted from projects/trainings/exchanges/technical assistance</p>	<p>a, b) Baseline to be set at the inception of the programme</p>	<p>a, b) Targets to be developed at the inception of the programme</p>	<p>DG ECHO reports Programme/project reports</p>	<p>Sustained commitment from Partner Countries Available necessary human, financial and material resources Willingness to participate and/or join UCPM</p>
<p>Outcome 3</p>	<p>Increased Partner Countries participation in and cooperation with the UCPM, including regional and cross-border cooperation</p>	<p>a) Number of times assistance provided among Eastern Partnership countries or with other beneficiaries in actual emergencies (per year) channelled through UCPM (this depends on whether disasters happen or not) b) Number of times that Eastern Partnership countries activates the UCPM in justified circumstances or use it to channel international assistance to Participating States</p>	<p>a) Baseline to be set at the inception of the programme b) Baseline to be set at the inception of the programme</p>	<p>a, b) Targets to be set at the inception phase of the programme</p>	<p>Programme reports UCPM reports ERCC beneficiary statistics</p>	<p>Sustained commitment from Partner Countries Available necessary human, financial and material resources Commitment to regional cooperation in disaster preparedness and response</p>

<p>Outputs related to outcome 1</p>	<p>a) Improved national legal and institutional framework</p> <p>a1) Extent of comprehensive risk assessment and risk management planning on national and/or sub-national level, including local communities, civil society organisations, volunteers as well as cross-cutting issues</p> <p>a2) Improved risk prevention and preparedness measures, including institutionalised multi-hazard early warning system, contingency and emergency planning, public awareness and risk communication, as well as innovation and knowledge services</p> <p>b) Enhanced knowledge and capacities for emergency response, including interministerial/interagency coordination in line with EU practices and international standards</p> <p>c) Enhanced planning and preparedness for disaster recovery and reconstruction on local,</p>	<p>a) Existence of reviewed/developed/updated legal and institutional framework</p> <p>a1) Number of risk assessments and risk management capabilities in place/submitted/reviewed</p> <p>a2) Number of road maps, guidelines, and procedures developed/improved and in use</p> <p>a3) Number of hazards for which the action led to the establishment of procedures and coverage for monitoring, detection and early action (previously uncovered at a national level)</p> <p>b) Number of response capacities, which are developed/upgraded according to internationally recognised standards (e.g. Medium/Heavy Search and Rescue modules)</p> <p>b1) Extent to which Host Nation Support (HNS) procedures are improved/developed</p> <p>c) 'Build-back better' tools and guidelines</p> <p>d) Number of people who have been trained (number of gender trainings); number of training curriculum developed</p> <p>d1) Number of table-top exercises at national level</p>	<p>a) 3</p> <p>a1) 4</p> <p>a2) emergency plans: 6; SOPs for EWS: 4</p> <p>a3) Baseline to be set at the inception phase</p> <p>b) 3</p> <p>b1) 1</p> <p>c) 0</p> <p>d) Baseline to be set at the inception phase</p> <p>d1) Pilot areas to be defined during the inception phase</p> <p>e) Baseline to be set at the inception phase</p> <p>f) Training possibilities and expertise is available in the region</p>	<p>a) Target to be set at the inception of the programme based on countries current needs but technical assistance should be provided for at least 2 documents</p> <p>a1) Target to be set at the inception of the programme based on countries current needs but technical assistance should be provided in five countries for at least 3 documents</p> <p>a2) Target to be set at the inception of the programme based on countries current needs but technical assistance should be provided in five countries</p> <p>b) At least one module gaining INSARAG classification during programme implementation</p> <p>b1) Updated/developed/reviewer HNS procedures in at least 2 countries</p> <p>c) Technical assistance to be provided in at least three countries</p>	<p>Official gazette of Partner Countries</p> <p>Programme reports</p> <p>Reports from governments and local administration</p>	<p>Ensured sustainability and durability to the respective projects by making available the necessary human, financial and material resources</p> <p>Willingness to engage and improve legal and institutional framework and practices and to ensure inter-institutional coordination; willingness to engage and develop their volunteering networks</p>
--	---	---	---	---	--	--

	<p>regional and national level</p> <p>d) Strengthened local training capacities and civil protection actors</p> <p>e) Strengthened network of civil protection volunteers at national and regional level</p> <p>f) National capacities for Disaster Risk Assessment and production of Disaster Loss Data are strengthened</p>	<p>e) A functioning system of training and supporting volunteers</p> <p>f) Extent to which relevant staff have benefitted from training/received technical assistance</p>		<p>d) Target to be set at the inception of the programme</p> <p>e) Target to be set at the inception phase</p> <p>f) Staff have participated in/benefitted from workshops, study visits, technical assistance and expert advice</p>		
--	---	---	--	---	--	--

<p>Outputs related to outcome 2</p>	<p>a) Increased awareness and use (quantitative and qualitative) of UCPM tools and services available to Partner Countries</p> <p>b) Strengthened network and exchanges between new and aspiring Participating States in the Eastern Partnership region and EU Member States/Participating States of the UCPM</p>	<p>a1) Number of registrations of response teams, technical equipment and other resources in the Common Emergency Communication and Information System (CECIS) and into the European Civil Protection Pool (ECPP), as well as reporting on Article 6 and participation in the Expert Groups (for Participating States)</p> <p>a2) Participation in projects, exercises, trainings, and other tools available under the UCPM (for Participating States and non-Participating States)</p> <p>b) Number of training, workshops, exchange of experts visits</p>	<p>a1) Baseline to be set at inception phase of programme</p> <p>a2) Baseline to be set at inception phase of programme</p> <p>b) Based on PRRD East 3 reports</p>	<p>a, b) Target to be set at inception phase of programme</p>	<p>Official documents from the governments or domestic civil protection authorities</p> <p>DG ECHO, ERCC reports</p> <p>Project/programme reports</p>	<p>Ensured sustainability and durability to the respective projects by making available the necessary human, financial and material resources</p> <p>The adequate staff following the training and workshops and participating in activities</p>
--	---	---	--	---	---	--

<p>Outputs related to Outcome 3</p>	<p>a) Strengthened institutional and operational coordination between the UCPM and Partner Countries in the areas of prevention, preparedness, response and recovery</p> <p>b) Improved national frameworks in each Partner Country on requesting and receiving assistance through the UCPM, as well as interinstitutional coordination on national and regional level (in the case of transboundary risks)</p> <p>c) Enhanced cross-border and sub-regional cooperation among Eastern Partnership countries, including transboundary risk assessment and response planning</p>	<p>a, b, c) Number of times assistance is provided among Partner Countries or with other beneficiaries in actual emergencies channelled through the UCPM</p> <p>a, b, c) Number of updated/developed procedures/emergency response plans/protocols and guidelines in place for requesting and offering assistance incl. Host nation Support (HNS)</p> <p>a1) Number of exchange of experts visits, workshops, trainings</p> <p>b) Extent to which beneficiary specific recommendations for inter-institutional coordination mechanisms are further developed</p> <p>c) Number of joint regional and full-scale exercises</p> <p>c) Existence of multi-sectoral and cross-border emergency plans in line with the EU and international standards</p>	<p>a, b, c) Baseline to be set at the inception of the programme based on PPRD East 3 final report and the results of the other EU-funded projects</p> <p>a1) Based on PPRD East 3 reports</p> <p>a2) Baseline to be set at the inception of the programme based on current numbers</p> <p>b) Baseline to be set at the inception of the programme</p> <p>c) 2</p> <p>c) No updated cross border emergency response plans in line with EU and international standards</p>	<p>a, b) Target to be set at the inception of the programme</p> <p>c) 1 regional and full-scale exercise</p> <p>c) At least 1 Cross border emergency response plan developed in line with EU and international standards exist</p>	<p>DG ECHO, ERCC reports</p> <p>Reports from civil protection authorities of Eastern Partnership countries</p> <p>Programme reports</p> <p>Lessons learned from practical exercises and actual disasters</p>	<p>Evidence on communication/co-ordination among the partner countries and the UCPM (ERCC) in real life emergency situations</p>
--	---	---	---	--	--	--

